1			
2		HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ	
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9 10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	settlement of this action as stated in the Settlement A "Agreement," attached hereto as Exhibit 1), which, t sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed set of the litigation with prejudice upon the terms and co WHEREAS, the Court has read and consider annexed thereto, and the briefing submitted in suppo Settlement;	MORDEN and ADEEL SIDDIQUI, Plaintiffs, vs. ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING RELATED ORDERS WHEREAS, the parties have made application for an order preliminarily approving the ment of this action as stated in the Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement" or ement," attached hereto as Exhibit 1), which, together with the exhibits annexed thereto, on the terms and conditions for a proposed settlement of the litigation and for dismissal litigation with prejudice upon the terms and conditions set forth therein; WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered the Settlement Agreement, the exhibits sed thereto, and the briefing submitted in support of preliminary approval of the	
2627	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDS ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING CLASS AND COL	Torray viv Po and Company of DV I C	

Period applies to a particular Class Member, the longer class period applies.

cifically finds: (1) the Class is so numerous that joinder is impractical

The Court specifically finds: (1) the Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (2) questions of law and fact are common to the Class; (3) the claims of the representative Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the class; and (4) the Class representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class. Further, in the context of settlement, the Court specifically finds that the proposed Settlement Class meets the predominance and superiority requirements of FRCP 23(b)(3). Certification of the plaintiff Settlement Class for settlement purposes is the best means for protecting the interests of all of the Settlement Class Members.

- 4. Solely for purposes of the proposed settlement, the Court does hereby preliminarily approve Beth E. Terrell of Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC, Steven M. Tindall, of Rukin Hyland Doria & Tindall LLP, Rachel J. Geman, of Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, and Douglas Werman, of Werman Law Office as Class Counsel. The Court also hereby preliminarily approves Levi Morden, Adeel Siddiqui, Chelsea Hunter, Dina Olympia, Richard Patla, and Jodelle Lloyd as the Representative Plaintiffs.
- 5. The Court preliminarily approves Plaintiffs' application for payment of attorney fees to Class Counsel of \$600,000.
- 6. The Court preliminarily approves the Plaintiffs' enhancement awards of not more than Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) for Plaintiffs Morden and Siddiqui and One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) for Plaintiffs Hunter, Olympia, Patla, and Lloyd, for a total enhancement award payment of Fourteen Thousand Dollars (\$14,000).
- 7. A hearing, for purposes of determining whether the settlement should be finally approved, shall be held before this Court on Tuesday Feb 5, 2008, at 10:00 a.m., in the Courtroom of the Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez at the U.S. Courthouse, 700 Stewart Street, Seattle, Washington, 98101. At the hearing, the Court will hear arguments concerning whether the proposed Settlement of the Litigation on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be approved by the Court.

The Court will also consider Plaintiff's request for an award of attorneys' fees and costs and for enhancement payments to be made to the Representative Plaintiffs.

- 8. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Pendency of Class Action, Proposed Settlement, and Hearing Date for Court Approval (the "Class Notice") and the Class Member Information Form, annexed as Exhibits A and B, respectively, to the Settlement Agreement, and finds that the distribution of the Class Notice substantially in the manner set forth in ¶7 of this Order meets the requirements of Federal law and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all individuals entitled thereto.
- 9. CPT Group is hereby appointed as the Settlement Administrator, and the payment of costs of administration to CPT Group of approximately \$14,500 is hereby preliminarily approved. The Settlement Administrator shall supervise and administer the notice procedure as more fully set forth below:
 - (a) Within ten (10) days following the Settlement Administrator's receipt of the class information to be provide by T-Mobile pursuant to Section 16 of the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Administrator shall distribute, by first class mailing to all Settlement Class members, a copy of the Class Notice and Class Member Information Form.
 - (b) At least five (5) days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel shall file with the Court a declaration by the Settlement Administrator of due diligence and proof of mailing with regard to the mailing of the notice.
 - (c) The Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel shall take reasonable steps to locate Settlement Class members as more fully set forth in Paragraph 17 of the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. All Settlement Class Members who are entitled to opt out of the Settlement Class and do not do so shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in the litigation concerning the Settlement, whether favorable or unfavorable to the Settlement Class.
- 11. Any member of the Settlement Class may enter an appearance in the litigation, at his or her own expense, individually or through counsel of their own choice. Any member of

the Settlement Class who does not enter an appearance or opt out of the Settlement Class will be represented by Class Counsel.

12. Any Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded (opt out) from the Settlement Class and not participate in the proposed settlement must submit a written request for exclusion to the Settlement Administrator which includes the following statement:

I wish to opt out of the Settlement of this case, *Morden v. T-Mobile USA*, *Inc.* (U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington Case No. C05-02112 RSM). I understand that by requesting to be excluded from the Settlement, I will receive no money from the settlement funds created in accordance with the Settlement Agreement entered into between Class Members and T-Mobile. I understand that if I am excluded from the Settlement, I may bring a separate action. I understand that in any separate lawsuit, I may receive nothing or less than I would have received if I had filed a claim for money pursuant to the Settlement.

As to Settlement Class Members who have previously opted into this Lawsuit, their right to opt out of this Lawsuit applies only if they were employed by T-Mobile during the Class Period in the states of Connecticut, Illinois, Maryland, Pennsylvania or Washington, and in addition their opt out will not exclude them from this Lawsuit for the period of time that is covered by their FLSA claims.

13. Any member of the Settlement Class may appear at the Final Approval Hearing and show cause, if he or she has any, why the proposed Settlement of the litigation should or should not be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate, or why a judgment should or should not be entered thereon, or why attorneys' fees should or should not be awarded to Class Counsel, or why the Representative Plaintiffs should or should not receive compensation; provided, however, that no Settlement Class Member or any other person shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed Settlement, or, if approved, the Judgment to be entered thereon approving the same, or, if awarded, compensation for the Representative Plaintiffs or the attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel, unless that Person has, no later than 30 days after mailing of Notice to the Class, (1)

served on counsel for the Class and Defendant written objections, and copies of any papers and briefs in support thereof, explaining the basis of the objection; and (2) filed these objections, papers and briefs with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, U.S. Courthouse, 700 Stewart Street, Seattle, Washington, 98101-9906. All timely filed and served objections shall be considered and ruled upon by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing. Any member of the Settlement Class who does not timely file and serve his or her objection in the manner provided above shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objection to the fairness or adequacy of the proposed Settlement as incorporated in the Settlement Agreement and any award of attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

- All papers in support of the settlement shall be filed and served no later than
 Friday, January 25, 2008.
- 15. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court shall determine whether the proposed settlement, and any application for attorneys' fees or reimbursement of costs, shall be approved.
- 16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class members, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed settlement.
- 17. If the settlement is voided pursuant to Sections 34 or 35 of the Settlement Agreement, all proceedings related thereto (including the filing of Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint and the certifications for settlement purposes of the Rule 23 Classes and of Levi Morden, Adeel Siddiqui, Chelsea Hunter, Dina Olympia, Richard Patla and Jodelle Lloyd as representative plaintiffs for purposes of Rule 23) shall be without prejudice to the rights of any party, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions in the Lawsuit prior to the settlement; and neither the Settlement Agreement nor any ancillary documents, actions or

İ	1	
1	filings shall be admissible or offered into evidence in this Lawsuit or any other action for any	
2	purpose.	
3	DONE IN OPEN COURT this _19_ day of November, 2007.	
4		
5		
6	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		
9	Presented by:	
10	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC	
11		
12	By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759	
13	Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 bterrell@tousley.com	
14	Toby J. Marshall, WSBA #32726 tmarshall@tousley.com	
15	Jennifer Rust Murray, WSBA #36983 jmurray@tousley.com	
16	1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, Washington 98101-1332	
17	Telephone: 206.682.5600 Facsimile: 206.682.2992	
18	Steven M. Tindall, CSBA #187862	
19	stindall@lchb.com Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP	
20	Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor	
21	San Francisco, California 94111-3339 Telephone: 415.956.1000	
22	Facsimile: 415.956.1008	
23	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
24		
25		
26		
27		